# CALGARY ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of the complaint against the property assessment as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Section 460, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000 (the Act).

#### between:

4209141 Canada Inc., (as represented by Altus Group), COMPLAINANT

and

The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

#### before:

T. B. Hudson, PRESIDING OFFICER
B. Jerchel, MEMBER
J. Kerrison. MEMBER

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of a property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2012 Assessment Roll as follows:

**ROLL NUMBER: 129181103** 

**LOCATION ADDRESS: 10505 Southport RD SW** 

FILE NUMBER: 66389

**ASSESSMENT: \$21,970,000** 

This complaint was heard on the 10th day of October, 2012 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 3, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 9.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

D. Hamilton

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

- D. Zhao
- S. Turner

## **Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:**

- [1] The Complainant advised that they would not pursue the matter of a dispute over compliance by the Respondent with the Act sections 299 and 300, notwithstanding the considerable argument and evidence included in the disclosure of both Parties on most of the complaint files before this Board.
- [2] The Parties agreed that the matters of the correct Rent rate, and Capitalization (Cap) rate to be applied in the assessment calculation for Big Box retail stores 80,001 square feet (sf.) or larger, free standing and/or located in power centres, were common to most of the complaint files before this Board.
- [3] Therefore, in order to eliminate the need to repeat the hearing of the same evidence and argument for all the affected properties, the Parties requested, and this Board agreed, to hear the evidence and argument on the correct Cap rate and Rent rate in the context of hearing File # 66404, and to cross-reference the information to the other hearing files as appropriate.

#### **Property Description:**

[4] The subject property is a 10.66 acre parcel of land improved with a free standing 169,758 square foot (sf.) Real Canadian Superstore, including a non-retail mezzanine, and a liquor store. The property is located at 10505 Southport RD SW, in the Southport community. The current assessment, based on the capitalized income approach to value, is \$21,970,000.

#### Issues:

- [5] The Complainant identified the assessment amount and class as the matters of concern on the Complaint Form.
- [6] Specific issues as follows:
  - Is the correct Rental Rate for the Big Box retail space \$10.00 per square foot (psf.); or \$8.00 psf. as requested?
  - Is the correct Rental Rate for the liquor store space \$22 psf. or \$18 psf. as requested, (revised from the original request of \$16 psf.)

<u>Complainant's Requested Value:</u> \$17,600,000, (revised from the original request of \$17,200,000).

#### **Board's Finding in Respect of the Issues**

#### Rent Rate for the Big Box Store (as per Hearing File # 66404)

The Board finds the correct Rent rate is \$10 psf. for free standing Big Box Retail Stores 80,001sf. and larger.

- [7] The Complainant submitted a chart listing the lease rates for eight Big Box Retail anchor stores 80,001 sf. or larger as comparables to the subject property. The list included four Wal-Mart stores, two Target stores, one Rona, and one Canadian Tire. Three of the eight comparable stores were in power centre locations.
- [8] Based on "face" or contract rent, the eight leases produced a median rate of \$7.74 psf., a mean rate of \$9.12 psf., and weighted mean rate of \$8.95 psf. Four of the leases incorporated a "construction allowance" which the Complainant argued should be deducted to arrive at "net" rent, which reduces the median rate to \$7.61 psf., the mean rate to \$7.84 psf., and the weighted mean rate to \$7.71 psf. This evidence supports the requested Rent rate of \$8.00 psf.
- [9] The Respondent submitted a chart listing the lease rates of five of the same eight comparables submitted by the Complainant. Based on contract rent, the five leases produced a median rent of \$10.00 psf. and a mean of \$10.80 psf., which supports the assessed Rent rate of \$10.00 psf.
- [10] The Respondent argued that three of the four Wal-Mart leases included on the Complainant list should be excluded as comparables, because of their location in enclosed shopping centres. The Respondent explained that Big Box Retail stores in enclosed shopping centres, downtown or beltline locations are subject to different assessment parameters, and are therefore not comparable to the subject.
- [11] The Respondent also argued that the "net" rent rate produced by deducting "construction" allowances is not appropriate because the net rental value only represents the leasehold estate interest in the property, rather than the full fee simple estate interest required by the Act and regulations.
- [12] In addition, the Respondent submitted a chart of some forty-two 2012 equity comparables, where the \$10.00 psf. Rent rate had been applied in the assessment calculation.

### Rent Rate for the Liquor Store (Specific to Hearing File # 66389)

The Board finds that the best evidence from both Parties indicates that the correct rent rate for the subject liquor store space is \$20.00 psf.

[13] The Complainant submitted base year rental rate comparables for commercial rental unit (CRU) space in the 6001 - 14,000 sf. size range which includes the subject, in three formats (page 103.104, and 105 of Exhibit C1):

- City wide locations at a median of \$18.00psf., and a mean of \$18.90 psf.
- Southwest Quadrant locations only at a median of \$18.00 psf., and a mean of \$18.02
- Neighborhood/Community Centre locations at a median of \$16.80 psf., and a mean of \$16.34 psf.

[14] The Respondent submitted a chart of twenty-two (22) B class CRU space rental rate comparables in the 6001 - 14000 sf. size range, showing a median of \$22.00 psf. and a mean of \$22.32 psf., (page 41 of Exhibit R1).

[15] The Complainant pointed out, and the Respondent conceded, that four of the twenty-two rental rate comparables on the Respondent's chart should not have been be included, due to their downtown and beltline locations where higher rental rates are achieved. The four comparables included rental rates of \$32.50 psf., \$23.14 psf., \$32.92 psf., and \$26.00 psf. When these rental rate comparables are eliminated, a median of \$19.25 psf., and a mean of \$20.91 is the result.

[16] There was no equity comparable evidence submitted by either party.

**Board's Decision:** The assessment is reduced to \$21,820,000.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 8th DAY OF \_\_\_ November

T. B. Hudson **Presiding Officer** 

# **APPENDIX "A"**

# DOCUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING AND CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

1. C1 Complainant Disclosure 2. C3, (a), (b), and (c) Complainant Disclosure Appendix	NO.	ITEM		
3. R1 Respondent Disclosure		Complainant Disclosure Appendix		

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c)

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.

# For MGB Administrative Use Only

Decision No. 1997/2012-P		Roll I	Roll No 129181103	
<u>Subject</u>	<u>Type</u>	Sub-Type	<u>Issue</u>	Sub-Issue
CARB	Retail	Big Box Free Standing, CRU	Assessment Amount/Class	Rent rates